

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

•



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/742,039		12/19/2000	Anthony Mauro	990502	9961
23696	7590	05/18/2005		EXAM	INER
Qualcomr	n Incorpor	rated	ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH		
Patents De	partment				
5775 More	house Driv	re	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				2131	
				DATE MAIL ED. 06/10/200	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/742,039	MAURO, ANTONY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 February 2005.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9,14-17 and 22-25</u> is/are pe	nding in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9,14-17 and 22-25</u> is/are rej	ected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· ·	received in this National Stage			
application from the International E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received			

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

437

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the communication filed on February 18, 2005. Claims 1-5 were originally received for consideration. Per the first response to an Office action, claims 6-29 were added. Per the received amendment, claim 3 was cancelled and per a telephone conversation with Mr. Katbab, claims 1,4-9,14-17, and 22-25 are elected for continued prosecution.

### Response to Arguments

2. Per a telephone conversation with Mr. Katbab, claims 1,4-9,14-17, and 22-25 are elected for continued prosecution.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gersho et al. (U.S. Patent 6,233,550).

Art Unit: 2131

- 1 6

Regarding claim 1, Gersho discloses:

A method of controlling discontinuous transmissions, comprising the steps of:

determining a voice activity level in a digitized audio signal (Figure 4A item 18,
column 10 lines 38 – 41, column 13 lines 17 – 53);

generating a control signal based on the level of voice activity detected (column 10 lines 38 – 41, column 13 lines 17 – 53);

generating active vocoder frames at a predetermined rate in a transmitter if said control signal indicates a first level of speech activity (Figure 4A item 52, column 10 lines 42 - 53, column 13 lines 17 - 53);

generating inactive vocoder frames if said control signal indicates a second level of speech activity (Figure 4A item 50, column 10 lines 42 – 53, column 13 lines 17 – 53); and

generating transition frames if said control signal indicates a transition from said first level to said second level, said transition frames comprising background noise information (Figure 4A item 54, column 10 lines 42 – 53, column 13 lines 17 – 53).

Regarding claim 4, Gersho discloses:

A discontinuous transmission controller, comprising:

a vocoder for generating active vocoder frames from a digitized audio signal at a predetermined output rate if speech is present, for generating inactive vocoder frames during periods of speech inactivity, and for generating transition frames during

Application/Control Number: 09/742,039 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

transitions from speech activity to speech inactivity, said transition frames comprising background noise information (Figure 4A items 50, 52, 54, column 10 lines 38 - 53, column 13 lines 17 - 53).

- 4. Claims 6-7 are analogous to claim 1 in subject matter and limitations and therefore, are rejected following the same reasoning.
- Claims 14-15 are apparatus claims analogous to the method presented by claim
   and therefore, are rejected following the same reasoning.
- 6. Claims 22-23 are computer-readable medium claims analogous to the method claim presented by claim 1, and therefore, are rejected following the same reasoning.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5,8,16, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gersho et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,233,550) in view of Udaya Bhaskar et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,691,092).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 5 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 4. Gersho does not explicitly disclose a state vector which is incremented when at least one active or transition frame is generated. Udaya Bhaskar disclose state vectors representing both transient and steady state vectors (column 11 line 66 – column 12 line 13). The transient vectors represent the changes in the speech levels and other non-stationary events. The use of state vectors allows the tracking of abrupt variations in speech levels, and maintains the accuracy of the speech level. Gersho and Udaya Bhaskar are analogous arts in that both receive an speech/voice input and both pertain to voice activity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the state vectors to track the different types of speech in order to "to track the abrupt variations in speech levels during onsets and other non-stationary events, while maintaining the accuracy of the speech level during stationary conditions."

Regarding claim 8, Gersho discloses:

A method for controlling discontinuous transmissions, comprising:

receiving digitized audio signal (Figure 4A item 18, column 10 lines 38 – 41,

column 13 lines 17 – 53);

determining a speech activity level in the received digitized audio signal (Figure 4A item 18, column 10 lines 38 – 41, column 13 lines 17 – 53);

generating a control signal based on the determined speech activity level (column 10 lines 38 – 41, column 13 lines 17 – 53);

Art Unit: 2131

generating active vocoder frames in a transmitter if said control signal indicates a transition between said active speech activity and inactive speech activity (Figure 4A item 52, column 10 lines 42 – 53, column 13 lines 17 – 53);

generating transition frames in the transmitter if said control signal indicates a transition between said active speech activity and inactive speech activity (Figure 4A item 54, column 10 lines 42 - 53, column 13 lines 17 - 53); and

generating no vocoder frame in the transmitter if said control signal indicates inactive speech activity (Figure 4A item 50, column 10 lines 42 - 53, column 13 lines 17 - 53).

Gersho does not explicitly disclose a state vector which is incremented when at least one active or transition frame is generated. Udaya Bhaskar disclose state vectors representing both transient and steady state vectors, but does not provide a state vector for an inactive vocoder frame (column 11 line 66 – column 12 line 13). The transient vectors represent the changes in the speech levels and other non-stationary events. The use of state vectors allows the tracking of abrupt variations in speech levels, and maintains the accuracy of the speech level. Gersho and Udaya Bhaskar are analogous arts in that both receive an speech/voice input and both pertain to voice activity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the state vectors to track the different types of speech in order to "to track the abrupt variations in speech levels during onsets and other non-stationary events, while maintaining the accuracy of the speech level during stationary conditions."

Art Unit: 2131

- 8. Claim 16 is an apparatus claim analogous to the method claim of claim 8, and therefore, is rejected following the same reasoning.
- 9. Claim 24 is a computer-readable medium claim analogous to the method claim of claim 8, and therefore, is rejected following the same reasoning.
- 10. Claims 9, 17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gersho et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,233,550) in view of Udaya Bhaskar et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,691,092), as applied to claims 5,8,16 and 24 above, and further in view of Duke et al. (U.S. Patent 6,272,633).

Claim 9 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 8. The system of Gersho and Udaya Bhaskar does not explicitly disclose encrypting the generated active and transition vocoder frames. Duke discloses a system (Figure 2) with an encryption and decryption module for encrypting and decrypting digitized voice. The voice frames are placed in a buffer (queue) and are processed in turn by the encryption/decryption module (column 2 lines 28 – 48). In one embodiment discussed by Duke, the voice frames are encrypted and later decrypted by codebook algorithms (column 2 lines 28 – 48). The state vector which is provided to the encryption/decryption modules is disabled when the buffer (queue) is an underflow condition. The disclosures of Gersho, Udaya Bhaskar and Duke are analogous arts in the respect that all deal with voice communication over a network. Gersho provides the voice activity detection, frame

Art Unit: 2131

generation, while Duke provides the encryption/decryption functions. Duke states, "many users have begun to utilize digital networks for voice communications" and that "confidentiality is a primary concern" (column 1 lines 25 – 31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the encryption/decryption modules that Duke uses to secure voice communications in conjunction with the system of Gersho to provide confidentiality and security for the voice communications.

- 11. Claim 17 is an apparatus claim analogous to the method claim of claim 9, and therefore, is rejected following the same reasoning.
- 12. Claim 25 is a computer-readable medium claim analogous to the method claim of claim 9, and therefore, is rejected following the same reasoning.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2131

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 05/13/05 AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 9